Peckham et al., "Evaluating Employment Quality as a Determinant of Health in a Changing Labor Market" The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences

| Dimensions | Indicators | Operationalization |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| [1] Employment | [1] Employment | [1] Two categories: 1) regular, permanent employment; 2) non-permanent |
| stability | contract/arrangement | arrangement (independent contractor/freelancer; working under a contractor; |
| | | employment on an on-call basis, or paid by temporary agency) |
| [2] Material | [2] Income level | [2] Year-specific sample quartiles, three categories: lowest, second or third, and |
| Rewards | | highest quartile |
| [3] Workers' | [3] Mandatory extra | [3] Three categories: 1) zero; 2) between 1 and 10; and 3) >11 days of required extra |
| rights & social | days of work | work hours |
| protection | | |
| [4] Working time | [4a] Long working | [4a] Four categories: 1) <24 hours; 2) 25-36 hours; 3) 37-48 hours; and 4) >48 hours |
| arrangements | hours | |
| | [4b] Working times | [4b] Three categories: 1) day shift; 2) afternoon or night shift; and 3) split, irregular, |
| | regularity | on-call, or rotating shifts |
| [5] Employability | [5] Opportunity to | [5] Dichotomous item indicating if worker has an opportunity to develop their own |
| opportunities | develop abilities | special abilities: yes ('very true', 'somewhat true') and no ('not too true', 'not at all true') |
| [6] Collective | [6a] Have adequate | [6a] Combines two items asking if workers 1) have enough help and equipment, and |
| organization | training, equipment, information | 2) enough information to get job done. Dichotomized to yes ('often' or 'sometimes' responses for both of these items) and no (responses of 'rarely' or 'never' on at least one) |
| | [6b] Union | [6b] Dichotomous item indicating union membership: yes and no |
| | representation | |
| [7] Interpersonal | [7a] Employee | [7a] Three categories: respondent is 1) 'often'; 2) 'sometimes'; and 3) 'rarely' or |
| power relations | involvement | 'never' able to make decision on the job that affect them |
| - | [7b] Control over | [7b] Combines two items asking ability of workers to change starting/finishing times |
| | schedule | and to take off work for personal/family reasons. Three categories: 1) 'high control'; 2) 'medium control'; and 3) 'low control' |
| | [7c] Subjected to | [7d] Combines two items indicating having been 1) sexually harassed or 2) threatened |
| | harassment/abuse | or harassed in any other way by anyone while at their job: yes ('yes' to either item) and |
| | 1.1.1.0 | |

Table A1. Operationalization of indicators of employment quality within General Social Survey.

Source: Authors' compilation based on General Social Survey (Smith et al. 2013).

Peckham et al., "Evaluating Employment Quality as a Determinant of Health in a Changing Labor Market" The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences

| | Log | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-------|-------|----------|
| # of classes | likelihood | AIC | BIC | VLMR-LRT |
| Wage-earner sample | | | | |
| 2 | -39972 | 80023 | 80278 | 0.000 |
| 3 | -39498 | 79114 | 79500 | 0.000 |
| 4 | -39326 | 78810 | 79327 | 0.000 |
| 5 | -39201 | 78600 | 79248 | 0.002 |
| 6 | -39125 | 78488 | 79267 | 0.689 |
| 7 | -39068 | 78413 | 79322 | 0.760 |
| Self-employed sample | | | | |
| 2 | -5748 | 11574 | 11757 | 0.000 |
| 3 | -5692 | 11501 | 11778 | 0.828 |
| 4 | -5654 | 11465 | 11836 | 0.761 |
| 5 | -5617 | 11432 | 11897 | 0.761 |
| 6 | -5588 | 11415 | 11973 | 0.764 |
| 7 | -5564 | 11406 | 12059 | 0.760 |

Table A2. Comparison of model fit indices in LCA modeling of wage-earning and self-employed working populations to identify EQ.

Source: Authors' compilation based on General Social Survey (Smith et al. 2013). Notes: AIC: Akaike Information Criteria. BIC: Bayesian Information Criteria. VLMR-LRT: Vuong-Lo-Mendell-Rubin likelihood ratio test. Bolding denotes lowest number of classes recommended by each fit indices.

Peckham et al., "Evaluating Employment Quality as a Determinant of Health in a Changing Labor Market" The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences

| nse category proportion ment rmanent t income quartile d income quartile t income quartile of work ays ys s hrs | proportion 0.894 0.106 0.275 0.533 0.193 0.801 0.137 0.062 0.102 | SER-like 0.257 0.933 0.067 0.164 0.729 0.107 0.865 0.135 0.000 | Porfolio 0.139 0.950 0.050 0.037 0.438 0.525 0.846 | skilled 0.134 0.900 0.100 0.119 0.598 0.282 | Dead-end 0.172 0.941 0.059 0.078 0.800 0.123 | Precarious 0.121 0.864 0.136 0.823 0.177 0.000 | precarious 0.177 0.706 0.294 0.769 0.198 0.033 |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| rent rmanent ermanent t income quartile d income quartile t income quartile of work ays ys s | 0.106 0.275 0.533 0.193 0.801 0.137 0.062 | 0.933 0.067 0.164 0.729 0.107 0.865 0.135 | 0.950 0.050 0.037 0.438 0.525 0.846 | 0.900 0.100 0.119 0.598 0.282 | 0.941 0.059 0.078 0.800 | 0.864 0.136 0.823 0.177 | 0.706 0.294 0.769 0.198 |
| rmanent ermanent t income quartile d income quartile t income quartile of work ays ys s | 0.106 0.275 0.533 0.193 0.801 0.137 0.062 | 0.067 0.164 0.729 0.107 0.865 0.135 | 0.050 0.037 0.438 0.525 0.846 | 0.100 0.119 0.598 0.282 | 0.059 0.078 0.800 | 0.136 0.823 0.177 | 0.294 0.769 0.198 |
| ermanent t income quartile d income quartile t income quartile of work ays ys s | 0.106 0.275 0.533 0.193 0.801 0.137 0.062 | 0.067 0.164 0.729 0.107 0.865 0.135 | 0.050 0.037 0.438 0.525 0.846 | 0.100 0.119 0.598 0.282 | 0.059 0.078 0.800 | 0.136 0.823 0.177 | 0.294 0.769 0.198 |
| t income quartile d income quartile t income quartile of work ays ys s | 0.275 0.533 0.193 0.801 0.137 0.062 | 0.164 0.729 0.107 0.865 0.135 | 0.037 0.438 0.525 0.846 | 0.119 0.598 0.282 | 0.078 0.800 | 0.823 0.177 | 0.769 0.198 |
| d income quartile t income quartile of work ays ys s | 0.533 0.193 0.801 0.137 0.062 | 0.729 0.107 0.865 0.135 | 0.438 0.525 0.846 | 0.598 0.282 | 0.800 | 0.177 | 0.198 |
| d income quartile t income quartile of work ays ys s | 0.533 0.193 0.801 0.137 0.062 | 0.729 0.107 0.865 0.135 | 0.438 0.525 0.846 | 0.598 0.282 | 0.800 | 0.177 | 0.198 |
| t income quartile of work ays ys s | 0.193 0.801 0.137 0.062 | 0.107 0.865 0.135 | 0.525 0.846 | 0.282 | | | |
| of work ays ays ys | 0.801 0.137 0.062 | 0.865 0.135 | 0.846 | | 0.123 | 0.000 | 0.033 |
| ays ys s | 0.137 0.062 | 0.135 | | | | | |
| ys s | 0.137 0.062 | 0.135 | | | | | |
| ys s | 0.062 | | | 0.585 | 0.710 | 0.849 | 0.966 |
| s | | 0.000 | 0.091 | 0.227 | 0.173 | 0.135 | 0.034 |
| | 0.102 | 0.000 | 0.062 | 0.188 | 0.117 | 0.017 | 0.000 |
| | 0 102 | | | | | | |
| hrs | 0.103 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.034 | 0.029 | 0.200 | 0.504 |
| | 0.132 | 0.083 | 0.044 | 0.066 | 0.031 | 0.324 | 0.362 |
| | 0.506 | 0.907 | 0.418 | 0.257 | 0.634 | 0.440 | 0.071 |
| s | 0.258 | 0.000 | 0.524 | 0.644 | 0.305 | 0.035 | 0.063 |
| rity | | | | | | | |
| nift | 0.734 | 0.877 | 0.924 | 0.620 | 0.715 | 0.530 | 0.572 |
| oon/night shift | 0.121 | 0.070 | 0.001 | 0.124 | 0.159 | 0.289 | 0.167 |
| regular/rotating | 0.145 | 0.053 | 0.075 | 0.255 | 0.126 | 0.181 | 0.261 |
| abilities | | | | | | | |
| rue, opportunity | 0.359 | 0.356 | 0.602 | 0.524 | 0.047 | 0.151 | 0.359 |
| hat true, opp | 0.434 | 0.541 | 0.358 | 0.447 | 0.336 | 0.378 | 0.471 |
| ie, opportunity | 0.207 | 0.103 | 0.040 | 0.029 | 0.617 | 0.471 | 0.170 |
| g, info, equipment | | | | | | | |
| sometimes have | 0.868 | 0.950 | 0.925 | 0.904 | 0.563 | 0.820 | 0.961 |
| | | | | | | | 0.039 |
| | | | | | | | |
| member | 0.147 | 0.136 | 0.031 | 0.268 | 0.291 | 0.088 | 0.045 |
| | | | | | | | 0.955 |
| | | | | | | | |
| ontrol | 0.322 | 0.317 | 0.739 | 0.119 | 0.142 | 0.066 | 0.522 |
| m control | 0.377 | | | | | | 0.396 |
| | | | | | | | 0.082 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 0.397 | 0.400 | 0.639 | 0.554 | 0.175 | 0.165 | 0.324 |
| | | | | | | | 0.434 |
| | | | | | | | 0.434 |
| | 0.210 | 0.17/ | 0.040 | 0.005 | 0101 | 0101 | 0.275 |
| t/threats | 0 1 1 4 | 0.077 | 0.048 | 0.165 | 0.221 | 0 1 5 9 | 0.041 |
| | | | | | | | 0.959 |
| | ontrol | member 0.147 ion member 0.853 ontrol 0.322 m control 0.377 ontrol 0.301 involved 0.397 mes involved 0.385 /never involved 0.218 / /threats rass/threat 0.114 | member 0.147 0.136 nion member 0.853 0.864 ontrol 0.322 0.317 m control 0.377 0.457 ontrol 0.301 0.226 involved 0.397 0.400 mes involved 0.385 0.453 /never involved 0.218 0.147 //threats 0.114 0.077 | member 0.147 0.136 0.031 ion member 0.853 0.864 0.969 ontrol 0.322 0.317 0.739 m control 0.377 0.457 0.261 ontrol 0.301 0.226 0.000 involved 0.397 0.400 0.639 mes involved 0.385 0.453 0.315 /never involved 0.218 0.147 0.046 //threats 0.114 0.077 0.048 | member 0.147 0.136 0.031 0.268 ion member 0.853 0.864 0.969 0.732 ontrol 0.322 0.317 0.739 0.119 m control 0.377 0.457 0.261 0.420 ontrol 0.301 0.226 0.000 0.461 involved 0.397 0.400 0.639 0.554 mes involved 0.385 0.453 0.315 0.363 /never involved 0.218 0.147 0.046 0.083 //threats vass/threat 0.114 0.077 0.048 0.165 | member 0.147 0.136 0.031 0.268 0.291 ion member 0.853 0.864 0.969 0.732 0.709 ontrol 0.322 0.317 0.739 0.119 0.142 m control 0.377 0.457 0.261 0.420 0.331 ontrol 0.301 0.226 0.000 0.461 0.527 involved 0.397 0.400 0.639 0.554 0.175 mes involved 0.385 0.453 0.315 0.363 0.364 /never involved 0.218 0.147 0.046 0.083 0.461 /threats vass/threat 0.114 0.077 0.048 0.165 0.221 | member 0.147 0.136 0.031 0.268 0.291 0.088 ion member 0.853 0.864 0.969 0.732 0.709 0.912 ontrol 0.322 0.317 0.739 0.119 0.142 0.066 m control 0.377 0.457 0.261 0.420 0.331 0.345 ontrol 0.301 0.226 0.000 0.461 0.527 0.589 involved 0.397 0.400 0.639 0.554 0.175 0.165 mes involved 0.385 0.453 0.315 0.363 0.364 0.355 /never involved 0.218 0.147 0.046 0.083 0.461 0.481 /threats varses/threat 0.114 0.077 0.048 0.165 0.221 0.159 |

Table A3. Distribution of conditional response probabilities across EQ types identified in wage-earner population

Source: Authors' compilation based on General Social Survey (Smith et al. 2013).

Peckham et al., "Evaluating Employment Quality as a Determinant of Health in a Changing Labor Market" The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences

| EQ indicator | Response category | Sample proportion | Skilled Contractor | Job-to-job |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Class proportion | | 0.338 | 0.612 |
| Employment a | rrangement | | | |
| | Reg/permanent | 0.177 | 0.301 | 0.098 |
| | Non-permanent | 0.823 | 0.699 | 0.902 |
| Income | | | | |
| | Lowest income quartile | 0.329 | 0.045 | 0.520 |
| | 2nd/3rd income quartile | 0.357 | 0.359 | 0.355 |
| | Highest income quartile | 0.314 | 0.596 | 0.124 |
| Mandatory ext | ra days of work | | | |
| | None | 0.771 | 0.596 | 0.883 |
| | 1-10 days | 0.142 | 0.203 | 0.103 |
| | 11+ days | 0.087 | 0.201 | 0.014 |
| Working hours | - 1 | | | |
| - | <24 hrs | 0.213 | 0.004 | 0.346 |
| | 25-36 hrs | 0.160 | 0.052 | 0.229 |
| | 37-48 | 0.263 | 0.275 | 0.255 |
| | >48 hrs | 0.364 | 0.669 | 0.170 |
| Working times | regularity | | | |
| 8 | Day shift | 0.634 | 0.707 | 0.588 |
| | Afternoon/night shift | 0.026 | 0.000 | 0.042 |
| | Split/irregular/rotating | 0.340 | 0.293 | 0.370 |
| Opportunity to | develop abilities | | | |
| | Very true, opportunity | 0.675 | 0.799 | 0.595 |
| | Somewhat true, opp | 0.233 | 0.201 | 0.254 |
| | Not true, opportunity | 0.092 | 0.000 | 0.151 |
| Have adequate | training, info, equipment | | | |
| | Often/sometimes have | 0.934 | 0.968 | 0.912 |
| | Rarely/never have | 0.066 | 0.032 | 0.088 |
| Union represer | | | | |
| | Union member | 0.030 | 0.026 | 0.033 |
| | Not union member | 0.970 | 0.974 | 0.967 |
| Control over so | | | | |
| | High control | 0.629 | 0.563 | 0.671 |
| | Medium control | 0.270 | 0.321 | 0.238 |
| | Low control | 0.101 | 0.116 | 0.091 |
| Employee invol | | | | 5.071 |
| - F -5,00 million | Often involved | 0.448 | 0.634 | 0.328 |
| | Sometimes involved | 0.254 | 0.242 | 0.262 |
| | Rarely/never involved | 0.298 | 0.123 | 0.202 |
| Workplace har | assment/threats | 0.270 | 0.125 | 0.110 |
| | Yes harass/threat | 0.072 | 0.074 | 0.070 |
| | No harass/threat | 0.928 | 0.926 | 0.930 |

Table A4. Distribution of conditional response probabilities across EQ types identified in self-employed

Source: Authors' compilation based on General Social Survey (Smith et al. 2013).

Peckham et al., "Evaluating Employment Quality as a Determinant of Health in a Changing Labor Market" The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences

| | | Analysis Sample | Excluded ^a | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Characteristic | Level | Frequency (percent) | Frequency (percent) | p-value ^b |
| n | | 5480 | 575 | |
| Year | 2002 | 1659 (30) | 144 (25) | 0.025 |
| | 2006 | 1579 (29) | 193 (34) | |
| | 2010 | 1075 (20) | 129 (22) | |
| | 2014 | 1166 (21) | 109 (19) | |
| Sociodemographic characteristics | | | | |
| Age | <=30 | 1342 (24) | 107 (19) | < 0.001 |
| C . | 31-50 | 2621 (48) | 226 (40) | |
| | >51 | 1518 (28) | 226 (40) | |
| Sex | Male | 2695 (49) | 286 (50) | 0.802 |
| | Female | 2785 (51) | 289 (50) | |
| Race/Ethnicity | White | 3889 (71) | 405 (70) | 0.231 |
| 2 | Black | 728 (13) | 67 (12) | |
| | Other | 233 (4) | 37 (6) | |
| | Hispanic | 630 (11) | 66 (11) | |
| Nativity | U.S. born | 4811 (88) | 467 (81) | < 0.001 |
| 5 | Non-U.S. born | 669 (12) | 108 (19) | |
| Highest degree | Less than HS | 491 (9) | 53 (9) | 0.159 |
| 0 0 | High school | 2824 (52) | 270 (47) | |
| | Junior college | 516 (9) | 61 (11) | |
| | Bachelor | 1083 (20) | 112 (20) | |
| | Graduate | 566 (10) | 79 (14) | |
| Health indicators | | | | |
| Self-reported health (SRH) | Good SRH | 4755 (87) | 467 (85) | 0.399 |
| 2011 10p01000 1000100 (2011) | Poor SRH | 725 (13) | 81 (15) | 0.0000 |
| Frequent mental distress (FMD) | Absent | 4924 (90) | 485 (93) | 0.026 |
| | FMD | 556 (10) | 35 (7) | |
| Work-related injuries in past year | 0 | 4882 (89) | 494 (92) | 0.142 |
| | 1 | 382 (7) | 21(4) | |
| | 2 | 99 (2) | 8(2) | |
| | 3 or more | 116 (2) | 10(2) | |

Table A5. Comparing the sample used in regression analysis with excluded respondents (weighted).

Source: Authors' compilation based on General Social Survey (Smith et al. 2013).

Notes: ^a Excluded from regression analyses due to missing covariate information. ^b Chi-square test: difference between variable responses in final sample vs. excluded respondents.

Peckham et al., "Evaluating Employment Quality as a Determinant of Health in a Changing Labor Market" The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences

| | Basic Model | | Demographics | | Demographics & Education | |
|---|-------------------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|---|-----|
| | Estimate (95% CI) | | Estimate (95% CI) | | Estimate (95% CI) | |
| EQ typology (ref. = SER-like) | jobs) | | | | | |
| Portfolio | 0.50 (0.32-0.79) | ** | 0.49 (0.31-0.78) | ** | 0.62 (0.39-0.97) | * |
| Inflexible skilled | 0.70 (0.47-1.05) | | 0.71 (0.48-1.06) | | 0.75 (0.50-1.12) | |
| Dead-end | 1.87 (1.33-2.64) | *** | 1.83 (1.30-2.58) | *** | 1.84 (1.31-2.57) | *** |
| Precarious | 1.83 (1.28-2.61) | *** | 1.97 (1.39-2.81) | *** | 1.65 (1.15-2.37) | ** |
| Optimistic precarious | 1.33 (0.91-1.92) | | 1.39 (0.96-2.00) | | 1.31 (0.90-1.89) | |
| Skilled contractor | 1.12 (0.64-1.96) | | 1.01 (0.58-1.79) | | 1.13 (0.64-1.98) | |
| Job-to-job | 1.12 (0.75-1.67) | | 1.06 (0.70-1.59) | | 1.03 (0.69-1.54) | |
| Age (ref. = <30) | | | | | | |
| 31-50 | | | 1.35 (1.09-1.66) | ** | 1.35 (1.10-1.66) | ** |
| >51 | | | 1.67 (1.34-2.09) | *** | 1.64 (1.32-2.05) | *** |
| Female (ref. = male) | | | 0.93 (0.79-1.09) | | 0.99 (0.84-1.16) | |
| Race/ethnicity (ref. = White) | | | | | | |
| Black | | | 1.21 (0.98-1.50) | | 1.12 (0.90-1.40) | |
| Other | | | 1.29 (0.88-1.89) | | 1.38 (0.95-2.00) | |
| Hispanic | | | 1.23 (0.93-1.62) | | 1.06 (0.79-1.42) | |
| Nativity (ref. = Born in U.S.) | | | 1.05 (0.79-1.38) | | 1.03 (0.78-1.37) | |
| Education (ref. = less than HS) |) | | | | | |
| High school | | | | | 0.62 (0.50-0.77) | *** |
| Junior college | | | | | 0.53 (0.38-0.73) | *** |
| Bachelors | | | | | 0.38 (0.28-0.52) | *** |
| Graduate school | | | | | 0.37 (0.26-0.54) | *** |
| Intercept | 0.11 (0.08-0.14) | *** | 0.08 (0.06-0.11) | *** | 0.13 (0.09-0.19) | *** |
| AIC Log-likelihood ratio test | 4313.4 | | 4297.2 | | 4252 | |
| comparing each model with the previous one | | | $\chi^2=30.16, df=7, p<0.001$ | | χ^2 =53.20, <i>df</i> =4, <i>p</i> <0.001 | |

Table A6. Association between employment quality type and self-rated health (prevalence ratios).

Source: Authors' compilation based on General Social Survey (Smith et al. 2013).

Notes: All models are adjusted for survey year. * p-value < 0.05; ** p-value < 0.01; *** p-value < 0.001.

| | Basic Model | | Demographics | | Demographics & Education | |
|---|-------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|--|-----|
| | Estimate (95% CI) | | Estimate (95% CI) | | Estimate (95% CI) | |
| EQ typology (ref. SER-like jo | obs) | | \$ * * | | | |
| Portfolio | 0.76 (0.45-1.29) | | 0.81 (0.48-1.38) | | 1.03 (0.60-1.75) | |
| Inflexible skilled | 1.70 (1.09-2.65) | * | 1.75 (1.12-2.73) | * | 1.87 (1.20-2.91) | ** |
| Dead-end | 2.65 (1.69-4.15) | *** | 2.77 (1.78-4.33) | *** | 2.76 (1.78-4.28) | **; |
| Precarious | 3.39 (2.21-5.19) | *** | 3.11 (2.03-4.77) | *** | 2.59 (1.66-4.03) | **; |
| Optimistic precarious | 1.81 (1.11-2.96) | * | 1.70 (1.05-2.77) | * | 1.58 (0.97-2.58) | |
| Skilled contractor | 1.24 (0.61-2.53) | | 1.44 (0.7-2.95) | | 1.60 (0.79-3.25) | |
| Job-to-job | 1.84 (1.14-2.97) | * | 1.91 (1.18-3.09) | ** | 1.87 (1.16-3.03) | * |
| Age (ref. = <30) | | | | | | |
| 31-50 | | | 0.77 (0.62-0.96) | * | 0.79 (0.64-0.97) | * |
| >51 | | | 0.69 (0.53-0.89) | ** | 0.68 (0.53-0.89) | ** |
| Female (ref. = male) | | | 1.25 (1.03-1.51) | * | 1.34 (1.11-1.63) | ** |
| Race/ethnicity (ref. = White) | | | | | | |
| Black | | | 0.70 (0.53-0.93) | * | 0.65 (0.49-0.85) | ** |
| Other | | | 0.97 (0.59-1.59) | | 1.02 (0.63-1.64) | |
| Hispanic | | | 1.13 (0.85-1.52) | | 1.01 (0.74-1.36) | |
| Nativity (ref. = Born in U.S.) | | | 0.74 (0.52-1.04) | | 0.74 (0.52-1.05) | |
| Education (ref. = less than HS | 5) | | | | | |
| High school | | | | | 0.76 (0.57-1.01) | |
| Junior college | | | | | 0.44 (0.27-0.72) | ** |
| Bachelors | | | | | 0.41 (0.28-0.60) | *** |
| Graduate school | | | | | 0.37 (0.23-0.62) | *** |
| Intercept | 0.07 (0.05-0.1) | *** | 0.08 (0.06-0.12) | *** | 0.12 (0.07-0.19) | *** |
| AIC Log-likelihood ratio test comparing each model with | 3584.9 | | 3570.3 | | 3531.5 | |
| the previous one | | | $\chi^2 = 28.54, df = 7, p < 0.001$ | | χ^2 =46.80, <i>df</i> =4, <i>p</i> <0.001 | |

| | 1 <i>i</i> 1 <i>i i i</i> | 10 / / 11 / | |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Table A7. Association betweer | employment quality type | and frequent mental dist | ress (prevalence ratios). |
| | | | |

Source: Authors' compilation based on General Social Survey (Smith et al. 2013). Notes: All models are adjusted for survey year. * p-value < 0.05; ** p-value < 0.01; *** p-value < 0.001.

Peckham et al., "Evaluating Employment Quality as a Determinant of Health in a Changing Labor Market" The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences

| | Basic Model | | Demographics | | Demographics & Education | |
|--|----------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|-----|
| | Estimate (95% CI) | | Estimate (95% CI) | | Estimate (95% CI) | |
| EQ typology (ref. = SER-like job | s) | | | | | |
| Portfolio | 0.72 (0.36-1.43) | | 0.65 (0.33-1.30) | | 0.85 (0.42-1.71) | |
| Inflexible skilled | 3.86 (2.22-6.70) | *** | 3.39 (1.91-6.01) | *** | 3.61 (2.04-6.39) | *** |
| Dead-end | 4.19 (2.35-7.47) | *** | 4.01 (2.25-7.16) | *** | 3.93 (2.21-7.00) | *** |
| Precarious | 3.06 (1.69-5.52) | *** | 2.73 (1.49-4.98) | ** | 2.30 (1.25-4.25) | ** |
| Optimistic precarious | 1.1 0(0.52-2.35) | | 1.05 (0.50-2.22) | | 0.97 (0.46-2.05) | |
| Skilled contractor | 2.08 (0.96-4.52) | | 2.04 (0.93-4.46) | | 2.26 (1.03-4.96) | * |
| Job-to-job | 2.13 (1.06-4.28) | * | 2.17 (1.08-4.37) | * | 2.12 (1.05-4.25) | * |
| Age (ref. = <30) | . , | | | | | |
| 31-50 | | | 0.67 (0.52-0.87) | ** | 0.68 (0.53-0.88) | ** |
| >51 | | | 0.48 (0.34-0.68) | *** | 0.49 (0.34-0.70) | *** |
| Female (ref. = male) | | | 0.70 (0.55-0.90) | ** | 0.76 (0.59-0.97) | * |
| Race/ethnicity (ref. = White) | | | | | | |
| Black | | | 0.61 (0.44-0.84) | ** | 0.55 (0.39-0.76) | *** |
| Other | | | 1.00 (0.5-1.99) | | 1.10 (0.57-2.15) | |
| Hispanic | | | 1.03 (0.70-1.51) | | 0.90 (0.61-1.33) | |
| Nativity (ref. = Born in U.S.) | | | 0.99 (0.65-1.51) | | 1.01 (0.66-1.56) | |
| Education (ref. = less than HS) | | | | | | |
| High school | | | | | 0.75 (0.53-1.04) | |
| Junior college | | | | | 0.64 (0.39-1.04) | |
| Bachelors | | | | | 0.40 (0.24-0.68) | *** |
| Graduate school | | | | | 0.28 (0.15-0.51) | *** |
| Intercept | 0.1 (0.07-0.15) | *** | 0.18 (0.11-0.31) | *** | 0.26 (0.15-0.46) | *** |
| AIC Log-likelihood ratio test | 6893 | | 6760.7 | | 6663.4 | |
| comparing each model with the previous one | | | $\chi^2 = 146.3, df = 7, p < 0.001$ | | $\chi^2 = 105.20, df = 4, p < 0.001$ | |

| Table A8. Association between er | nployment quality type and | l occupational iniurv | (incident rate ratios). |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | |

Source: Authors' compilation based on General Social Survey (Smith et al. 2013). Notes: All models are adjusted for survey year. * p-value < 0.05; ** p-value < 0.01; *** p-value < 0.001.